



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/602,864	06/25/2003	Charles Moody	361,2868	2108		
75	590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER			
Peter A. Borsari			MAH, CHUCK Y			
Borsari & Asso 3 South Fieldwa			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Rehodoth Beac	-		3676			
				DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	10/602,864	MOODY, CHARLES	
	cincoricuen cummury	Examiner Chuck Mah	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		ith the correspondence address	
Period fo			·	
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have beer I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachma	**(a)			
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Campbell et al. (4,817,239).
- 3. Claims 1, 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Borchers (2,447,389).

Note that intended use is given no patentable weight. Both '239 and '389 meet the invention as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell et al. '239.

'239 does not show using apertures in the front face to secure the opener.

However, '239 teaches using screws secure the rear face. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 3676

to form addition apertures in the front face to receive screws since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

As to the adhesive, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use adhesive as an alternative to attach the opener, since applicant has not disclosed that use adhesive solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that using screws would perform equally well. *In re Kuhle, 188 USPQ* 7.

As to claims 4-5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose brass or stainless steel to form the opener, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

As to claim 6, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the opener from a single sheet of material, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3676

7. Claims 12-20 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 2/4/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's primary argument is based on that neither '239 nor '389 recites the claimed "top face". The examiner strongly disagrees because Campbell et al. '239 clearly shows a top face (40) extending outwardly from the rear face (30) at an angle of 90° or less (see fig. 1 showing a portion of the face curved downwardly). Borchers '389 clearly shows a top face (15) extending outwardly from the rear face (12) at an angle of 90° or less (see figures 5 and 6, a portion of the face curved downwardly). It requires only a face extending outwardly from the rear face at an angle of 90° or less to meet the limitation as claimed. '239 and '389 show a face exactly as claimed.

.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3676

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (571)272-7059. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571)272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3676